

Revision and Update of the Consensus Definitions of Invasive Fungal Disease From the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer and the Mycoses Study Group Education and Research Consortium

J. P. Donnelly,¹ S. Chen,² C. A. Kauffman,³ W. J. Seibert,⁴ J. W. Baddley,⁵ P. E. Verweij,⁶ C. C. J. Calet,⁷ J. R. Wingard,⁸ S. R. Lockhart,⁹ A. de Hoog,¹⁰

Received 27 May 2019; editorial decision 29 August 2019; accepted 8 October 2019; published online December 5, 2019.

Correspondence: J. P. Donnelly, De Hoefkamp 1096, 6545 MD Nijmegen, The Netherlands (p.donnelly@usa.net).

Clinical Infectious Diseases 2019;XX(X):XX-XX

© The Author(s) 2019. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. DOI: 10.1093/cid/ciz1008

Table 1. Criteria for the Diagnosis of Invasive Fungal Disease

Fungus	Microscopic Analysis: Sterile Material	Culture: Sterile Material	Blood	Serology	Tissue Nucleic Acid Diagnosis
Molds ^a	Histopathologic, cytopathologic, or direct microscopic examination ^b of a specimen obtained by needle aspiration or biopsy in which hyphae or melanized yeast-like forms are seen accompanied by evidence of associated tissue damage	Recovery of a hyaline or pigmented mold by culture of a specimen obtained by a sterile procedure from a normally sterile and clinically or radiologically abnormal site consistent with an infectious disease process, excluding BAL fluid, a paranasal or mastoid sinus cavity specimen, and urine	Blood culture that yields a mold ^c (eg, <i>Fusarium</i> species) in the context of a compatible infectious disease process	Not applicable	Amplification of fungal DNA by PCR combined with DNA sequencing when molds are seen in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue
Yeasts ^a	Histopathologic, cytopathologic, or direct microscopic examination of a specimen obtained by needle aspiration or biopsy from a normally sterile site (other than mucous membranes) showing yeast cells, for example, <i>Cryptococcus</i> species indicating encapsulated budding yeasts or <i>Candida</i> species showing pseudohyphae or true hyphae ^d	Recovery of a yeast by culture of a sample obtained by a sterile procedure (including a freshly placed [<24 hours ago] drain) from a normally sterile site showing a clinical or radiological abnormality consistent with an infectious disease process	Blood culture that yields yeast (eg, <i>Cryptococcus</i> or <i>Candida</i> species) or yeast-like fungi (eg, <i>Trichosporon</i> species)	Cryptococcal antigen in cerebrospinal fluid or blood confirms cryptococcosis	Amplification of fungal DNA by PCR combined with DNA sequencing when yeasts are seen in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue
Pneumocystis	Detection of the organism microscopically in tissue, BAL fluid, expectorated sputum using conventional or immunofluorescence staining	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
Endemic mycoses	Histopathology or direct microscopy of specimens obtained from an affected site showing the distinctive form of the fungus	Recovery by culture of the fungus from specimens from an affected site	Blood culture that yields the fungus	Not applicable	Not applicable

Abbreviations: BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage; PCR, polymerase chain reaction.

^aIf culture is available, append the identification at the genus or species level from the culture results.

^bTissue and cells submitted for histopathologic or cytopathologic studies should be stained using Grocott-Gomori methenamine silver stain or periodic acid Schiff stain to facilitate inspection of fungal structures. Whenever possible, wet mounts of specimens from foci related to invasive fungal disease should be stained with a fluorescent dye (eg, calcofluor or blankophor).

^cRecovery of *Aspergillus* species from blood cultures rarely indicates endovascular disease and almost always represents contamination.

^d*Trichosporon* and yeast-like *Geotrichum* species and *Blastoschizomyces capitatus* may also form pseudohyphae or true hyphae.

and nonspecific surrogate tests, such as increased C-reactive protein or thrombocytopenia, which has been shown to be a predictor of candidemia in infants [16, 17]. In neonates, a positive urine culture has a significance similar to that of a positive blood culture as an indicator of IC [16]. Radiographic findings are less specific in children than those reported in adults [18]. Chest computerized tomography (CT) scans in children with proven invasive pulmonary aspergillosis (IPA) commonly show nonspecific changes and not the halo sign, air crescent formation, or cavitation seen in adults [19].

There are also far fewer data to support the clinical use of nonculture-based fungal biomarkers in neonates and children [20], although the GM assay performs similarly in children and adults when used as an adjunctive tool to diagnose invasive aspergillosis (IA) [20, 21]. Likewise, there are few data regarding the use of BDG, C-mannan antigen, and anti-mannan antibody biomarkers in pediatrics [22]. Recent data support the utility of BDG in CSF for the diagnosis and therapeutic monitoring of children with *C* meningoencephalitis [23], but the data are sparse regarding the utility of PCR assays and the T2Candida assay for diagnosis [24].

Diagnostic Test Availability

In the previous definitions [1], indirect tests for diagnosing IFD were only included if there was sufficient evidence that they had

been standardized and validated. Moreover, commercial tests were included only if criteria for interpretation had been provided. Hence, while tests for GM and BDG were incorporated, tests for detecting fungal nucleic acid were not [1]. Furthermore, there was no agreement about appropriate thresholds, so the manufacturers' analytical thresholds were adopted. The evidence for using GM to diagnose IA has grown considerably since then, and testing for BDG has been extended to a wide range of patients. With respect to Aspergillus PCR, the International Society of Human and Animal Mycology working group Fungal PCR Initiative (FPCRI; www.fpcr.eu) has made significant progress toward setting a standard for the technique after vigorous validation [25].

Imaging: Group 2

There is mounting evidence that the radiologic manifestations of invasive mold disease are more varied than previously recognized. The increased sensitivity of newer imaging techniques enables a greater number and depth of abnormalities to be seen in different anatomic regions. Recent data relating to the role of imaging in the diagnosis of IPA and pulmonary mucormycosis (PM) in adults suggest that a high-resolution CT scan (HRCT) is preferred to chest radiographs, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and positron emission tomography (PET), likely reflecting that HRCT is more sensitive than a chest

CSF: ≥ 1.0
<i>Aspergillus</i> PCR
Any 1 of the following:
Plasma, serum, or whole blood 2 or more consecutive PCR tests positive
BAL fluid 2 or more duplicate PCR tests positive

serum BDG to rule in patients for clinical trials or for defining IA or IC, as BDG detection is not specific for any one IFD. It was agreed that this test should only be used on serum samples, although the test has been used for CSF samples with some success to support a diagnosis of central nervous system (CNS) IFD in certain circumstances when other diagnostic tests are negative or inconclusive [44].

The T2Candida panel has been cleared by the US Food and Drug Administration for the detection of common *Candida* species from whole blood specimens. The test has a very high NPV but, as with all such tests, the PPV is variable and depends upon disease prevalence in a given patient population [45, 46]. The PPV increases from 62% among patients with sepsis, shock, or lengths of stay greater than 3–7 days in an ICU to 92% for bone marrow transplant recipients and patients with leukemia who are neutropenic but not receiving any antifungal prophylaxis. The test has been included as mycologic evidence to support a diagnosis of candidemia in selected clinical trials [47].

***Aspergillus* PCR: Group 5**

In considering *Aspergillus* PCR, target species, patient populations, appropriate specimens for testing, technical issues, comparison with other biomarker assays, and unique attributes of PCR assays were reviewed. The data were sufficiently robust for performing *Aspergillus* PCR on serum, plasma, whole blood, and BAL fluid in adults. The group acknowledged that *Aspergillus* PCR data have been evaluated most extensively for adults with hematologic malignancies and HSCT. Systematic reviews of *Aspergillus* PCR methods on blood and BAL fluid conclude that PCR provides a robust diagnostic test for screening and confirming the diagnosis of *Aspergillus* infection [22, 48–53].

There are relatively few commercial PCR assays, and most investigators have developed methods in-house. As such, the FPCRI was established to develop criteria for *Aspergillus* PCR rather than a standardized method per se. Despite technologic variability, PCR performance was comparable with that for detecting GM and BDG [54]. Moreover, commercial PCR tests performed using methodology in line with the FPCRI recommendations provide a standardized approach that has been independently associated with improved performance. A unique feature of PCR is its ability to detect both genus and species of *Aspergillus*. PCR is also capable of identifying certain mutations associated with triazole resistance directly from clinical specimens [55–57].

Tissue Diagnosis: Group 6

Tissue diagnosis requires the presence of fungal elements in formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue and signifies proven fungal disease but not the identity of the fungus involved. To achieve this, we recommend amplification of fungal DNA by PCR combined with DNA sequencing, but only when fungal elements are seen by histopathology. PCR would add value by allowing identification of the fungus to genus and possibly species levels. Because the technique used should be rigorously quality controlled, only laboratories with a proven record in performing DNA extraction from formalin-fixed tissue should undertake this. The identity of the fungus should be consistent with the histopathologic findings [58–60].

Other Definitions

Pneumocystosis: Group 7

The inclusion of *Pneumocystis jirovecii* pneumonia (PCP) diagnosis in the updated definitions was limited to patients not living with human immunodeficiency virus (HIV). Diagnosing PCP has been more difficult among these patients possibly due to a more focal pulmonary involvement, lower suspicion of disease, and lower sensitivity of traditional histologic and microscopy diagnostic tests [61]. As such, it is important to more fully define host factors for patients at increased risk for PCP. We selected receipt of therapeutic doses of corticosteroids for at least 2 weeks within the past 60 days; antineoplastic, antiinflammatory, or immunosuppressive treatment; and low CD4 lymphocyte counts due to a medical condition. This includes, but is not limited to, patients with primary immunodeficiencies, hematologic malignancies, SOTs, and allogeneic HSCT recipients [62, 63]. Clinical criteria in this population tend to be nonspecific and include cough, dyspnea, and hypoxemia. Radiographic abnormalities include bilateral ground-glass opacities and, less frequently, consolidation, small nodules, unilateral infiltrates, pleural effusions, and cystic lesions [61, 64, 65]. Amplification of *Pneumocystis jirovecii* DNA by quantitative real-time PCR on BAL fluid, expectorated sputum, or oral wash specimens is preferred to qualitative PCR and is helpful to establish probable disease. However, further studies are needed

Table 3. Criteria

Mycological evidence
β -D-glucan (Fungitell) ≥ 80 ng/L (pg/mL) detection in ≥ 2 consecutive serum samples provided other etiologies have been excluded
Detection of <i>Pneumocystis jirovecii</i> DNA by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction in a respiratory tract specimen
Endemic mycoses
Host factors
Not applicable as these diseases affect both healthy and less healthy hosts
Clinical features
Evidence for geographical or occupational exposure (including remote) to the fungus and compatible clinical illness
Mycological evidence
<i>Histoplasma</i> or <i>Blastomyces</i> antigen in urine, serum, or body fluid
Antibody to <i>Coccidioides</i> in cerebrospinal fluid or 2-fold rise in 2 consecutive serum samples
Probable invasive fungal diseases (IFD) requires the presence of at least 1 host factor, a clinical feature and mycologic evidence and is proposed for immunocompromised patients only, whereas proven invasive fungal disease can apply to any patient, regardless of whether the patient is immunocompromised. Except for endemic mycoses, probable IFD requires the presence of a host factor, a clinical feature, and mycologic evidence, whereas cases that meet the criteria for a host factor and a clinical feature but for which mycological evidence has not been found are considered possible IFD.
^a T2Candida is US Food and Drug Administration approved for the detection of <i>Candida albicans</i> , <i>Candida parapsilosis</i> , <i>Candida tropicalis</i> , <i>Candida krusei</i> , and <i>Candida glabrata</i> in blood.
^b Cryptococcosis also occurs in phenotypically normal hosts.
^c Definitions for human immunodeficiency virus-associated pneumocystosis are not included here.
^d Bilateral, diffuse ground glass opacities with interstitial infiltrates are more common than other features such as consolidations, small nodules, thin-walled cavities, and unilateral infiltrates.

S. species complex, or *P.* . Exposure to 1 of these fungi is defined as a history of residence in an endemic area, no matter how remote, travel to an endemic area, or contact with fomites such as soil or vegetation that is derived from an endemic area.

Probable Invasive Fungal Disease

The revised definitions of proven IFD are shown in [Table 1](#).

Probable Invasive Fungal Disease

Several changes were made to the definitions of probable IFD ([Tables 2](#) and [3](#)). For example, host factors were expanded to include inherited severe immunodeficiency and low CD4 lymphocyte counts. Radiographic features were expanded to include wedge-shaped and segmental or lobar consolidation and a reverse halo sign to indicate mold disease of the lower respiratory tract. Revised thresholds for GM now replace those of the manufacturer. A PCR is now included, and there are mycologic criteria for non-HIV-associated pneumocystosis.

Probable Invasive Fungal Disease

While definitions of proven and probable disease have been shown to be reliable in research and attracted little controversy among the group, this cannot be said of the possible IFD category. There is much confusion about the difference between a

host factor and a risk factor. As before, a host factor has been defined as a characteristic of individuals clearly predisposed to, and not simply at risk of, an IFD [[1](#)]. For example, while impaired gut wall integrity through surgery or illness may increase the risk of candidiasis, it was not considered specific enough to warrant inclusion as a host factor. Pulmonary abnormalities such as tree-in-bud opacities and interstitial abnormalities were excluded from the clinical features as they can be due to a wide range of pathologies in addition to IFD.

GENERAL POINTS

Throughout this process, we have emphasized the need to differentiate between definitions of IFD required for clinical research from those that influence clinical practice. In clinical practice, many would administer an antifungal agent to any patient at risk of IFD when fungi are detected by biomarkers in serum, plasma, whole blood, or relevant body site fluid without there being sufficient evidence to satisfy the consensus definitions of IFD. We also recognize that our definition of a host factor errs on the side of conservatism given the increasing use of drugs such as monoclonal antibodies for treating a variety of conditions.

Other controversial issues included distinguishing between the performance characteristics of tests for screening and confirmation, the impact of exposure to antifungal agents used for prophylaxis or treatment on imaging and diagnostic tests, and the use of biomarkers to monitor therapeutic outcome. We agree that further research will be required to evaluate the evidence for each of these assays. Finally, there was consensus that diagnostic strategies to determine the relative efficiency of an available test, alone or in combination with other diagnostic tests, should be considered further.

CONCLUSIONS

In summary, these revised definitions represent consensus expert opinion based on the best available evidence. As such, they will need to be reviewed regularly for their utility and relevance and, where possible, extended to other populations affected by IFDs. We acknowledge the limitations of these definitions, including the exclusion of certain cases of IFD. However, the reliance on host factors, clinical features, and mycologic evidence to define IFD in selected populations has proven its value for clinical trials, epidemiologic studies, and the evaluation of diagnostic tests.

Notes

Author contributions. P : William Steinbach (Chair, Mycoses Study Group [MSG]), Brian Fisher (MSG), Andreas Groll (European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer [EORTC]), Thomas Lehrnbecher (EORTC), Emmanuel Roilides (EORTC), Thomas J Walsh (MSG), Adilia Warris (EORTC), Leo Zaoutis (MSG). G : John W. Baddley (Chair, MSG), Barbara Alexander (MSG), Sujal Desai (EORTC), Klaus Peter Heussel (EORTC), Friedrich Lamoth (EORTC), Orla Morrissey (MSG), Cornelia Schaefer Prokop (EORTC).

: Paul Verweij (Chair, EORTC), Elio Castagnola (EORTC), Johan Maertens (EORTC), Kieren Marr (MSG), Joseph Wheat (MSG).
 -D- , 2C : Cornelius J. Clancy (Chair, MSG), Hamdi Akan (EORTC), David Andes (MSG), Mario Cruciani (EORTC), Fr d ric Lamoth (EORTC), Oscar Marchetti (EORTC), Luis Ostrosky-Zeichner (MSG), Zdenek Racil (EORTC).
 : John R. Wingard (Chair, MSG), Stephane Bretagne (EORTC), Angela Caliendo (MSG), Jurgen Loe er (EORTC), Tom Patterson (MSG), Monica Slavin (MSG), P Lewis White (EORTC). : Shawn Lockhart (Chair, MSG), Ralf Bialek (EORTC), Manuel Cuenca (EORTC), Henrik Jensen (EORTC), Chris Kibbler (EORTC), Dimitrios Kontoyiannis (MSG). P : Andreas Groll (Chair, EORTC), Sharon Chen (MSG), Catherine Decker (MSG), Katrien Lagrou (EORTC), Henry Masur (MSG), Livio Pagano (EORTC), Claudio Viscoli (EORTC). C : Tania Sorrell (Chair, MSG), Peter Pappas (MSG), Tom Harrison (EORTC), Olivier Lortholary (EORTC), John Perfect (MSG). E : Carol A. Kaufman (Chair, MSG), Robert W. Bradsher (MSG), Jacques F. Meis (EORTC), Marcio Nucci (MSG), David Stevens (MSG), George R ompson (MSG). I : Matteo Bassetti (Chair, EORTC), Elie Azoulay (EORTC), ierry Calandra (EORTC), Bart-Jan Kullberg (EORTC), Fr d ric Lamoth (EORTC), Marcus Ruhnke (EORTC), Shmuel Shoham (MSG), Jose Vazquez (MSG).

Disclaimer. e ndings and conclusions in this report are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the o cial position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

Potential conflicts of interest. H. Akan reports being a primary investigator in a clinical trial for MSD. B. D. Alexander reports grants from Astellas, Cidara, Scynexis, F2G, and Viamet and personal fees from Astellas, Scynexis, and UpToDate outside the submitted work. D. Andes reports grants and consulting fees from Amplyx, Matinas, Cidara, and Merck outside the submitted work. E. Azoulay reports personal fees from Gilead, P zer, Ablynx, Alexion, and Baxter; grants and personal fees from MSD; and conference invitation from Gilead outside the submitted work. M. Bassetti reports grants from P zer, MSD, and Cidara and personal fees from P zer, MSD, Astellas, ermoFisher, Gilead, Cidara, Biomerieux, and Menarini outside the submitted work. S. Bretagne reports grants from MSD and P zer and personal fees from Gilead outside the submitted work. T. Calandra reports advisory board membership from Astellas, Basilea, Cidara, MSD, Sobi, ermoFisher, and GE Healthcare and data monitoring board membership from Novartis. A. M. Caliendo reports grants from T2 Biosystems outside the submitted work. E. Castagnola reports personal fees from Gilead outside the submitted work. S. C. Chen reports grants from MSD Australia outside the submitted work. C. J. Clancy reports consultancy fees from Merck, Cidara, Astellas, e Medicine Company, Scynexis, and T2Biosystems; grants/pending grants from T2Biosystems, Merck, Cidara, and Astellas; and personal fees from Merck and T2 Biosystems outside the submitted work. M. Cuenca-Estrella reports grants and personal fees from Gilead Sciences and grants from F2G, Scynexis, Cidara, and Amplyx outside the submitted work. S. R. Desai reports paid honorarium and travel expenses from Interstitial Lung Disease MDT Boehringer-Ingelheim. J. P. Donnelly reports personal fees from F2G Ltd, Gilead Sciences, and P zer outside the submitted work. B. Fisher reports grants from P zer, Merck, and the National Institutes of Health and personal fees from Astellas outside the submitted work. T. Harrison reports grants from Gilead Sciences and personal fees from Gilead Sciences, P zer, and Viamet outside the submitted work. C. P. Heussel reports personal fees from Basilea, Bayer, Boehringer-Ingelheim, Gilead, Interimmune, MSD, Novartis, and P zer; grants from the German Center for Lung Research, MeVis, P zer, and Siemens; and consultancy fees from Fresenius outside the submitted work. C. A. Kaufmann reports data safety monitoring board membership for Cidara erapeutics outside the submitted work. D. P. Kontoyiannis reports personal fees from Merck & Co, Gilead Sciences, United Medical, Astellas Pharma, Pharma, Cidara erapeutics, Amplyx Pharmaceuticals, and Mayne Pharma outside the submitted work. B.-J. Kullberg reports personal fees from Amplyx, Astellas, Cidara, P zer, and Scynexis outside the submitted work. K. Lagrou reports personal fees and travel support from P zer and MSD and personal fees from Abbott, SMB Laboratoires Brussels, Gilead, and Roche outside the submitted work. F. Lamoth reports personal

fees from MSD and Basilea outside the submitted work. T. Lehrnbecher reports grants, personal fees, and non nancial support from Gilead Sciences; personal fees and non nancial support from MSD/Merck and Astellas; and personal fees from Basilea outside the submitted work. J. Maertens reports personal fees and non nancial support from Basilea Pharmaceuticals, BioRad Laboratories, Cidara, F2G Ltd, Gilead Sciences, Merck, and P zer Inc and grants from Gilead Sciences outside the submitted work. K. A. Marr reports personal fees from Amplyx, Cidara, and Merck; licensing royalties from MycoMed Technologies; and patents from MycoMed outside the submitted work. J. F. Meis reports grants from F2G Ltd, personal fees from Gilead Sciences, other from Pulmocide, grants and personal fees from Scynexis, personal fees and other from TEVA, and personal fees and other from United Medical outside the submitted work. C. O. Morrissey reports grants from Gilead Sciences, Merck, Sharp and Dohme and advisory board membership from Merck, Sharp, and Dohme outside the submitted work. M. Nucci reports personal fees from P zer, MSD, Basilea, Gilead, Biotoscana, Teva, Abbvie, Astellas, and Janssen outside the submitted work. L. Ostrosky-Zeichner reports personal fees from Merck, F2G, Mayne, Viracor, and Gilead; grants and personal fees from P zer, Astellas, Scynexis, and Cidara; grants from Amplyx; and personal fees from Realtime outside the submitted work. L. Pagano reports personal fees from MSD and P zer and grants and personal fees from Gilead outside the submitted work. T. F. Patterson reports personal fees from Basilea; grants from Cidara; and personal fees from Gilead, Merck, Scynexis, Toyama, and P zer outside the submitted work. J. R. Perfect reports grants from P zer and Mayne; grants and other from Merck, Minnetronix, and Amplyx and other from Viamet, F2G, Vical, Matinas, Cidara during the conduct of the study. E. Roilides reports grants from Astellas Pharma, Gilead Sciences, MSD Sharp & Dohme, P zer outside the submitted work. M. Ruhnke reports personal fees from SCYNEXIS, Basilea, Kedplasma, and Daiichi outside the submitted work. S. Shoham reports grants from Merck, Astellas, Shionogi, Cidara, Scynexis, Shire, T2 Microsystems, Ansun, Emergent, and Gilead and personal fees from Merck outside the submitted work. M.A. Slavin reports grants from Merck; personal fees from Merck; and other from P zer and Gilead outside the submitted work. D. A. Stevens reports grants from Astellas and Riptide and consulting fees from Pulmatrix, the US Department of Justice, and Fresenius outside the submitted work. G. R. ompson reports grants and other from Astellas, Cidara, Vical, Scynexis, F2G, and Mayne and grants from RealTime Labs outside the submitted work. J. A. Vazquez reports personal fees from Astellas, Cidara, Amplyx, and F2G outside the submitted work. C. Viscoli reports personal fees from MSD Int, Gilead, P zer, Angelini, Astellas, and Basilea outside the submitted work. P. E. Verweij reports grants from Gilead Sciences, MSD, P zer, and F2G and non nancial support from OLM and IMMY outside the submitted work. T. J. Walsh has received grants for experimental and clinical antifungal pharmacology and molecular diagnostics to his institution from Amplyx, Astellas, Lediand, Merck, Scynexis, and T2 Biosystems and has served as a consultant to Amplyx, Astellas, Gilead, Lediand, Merck, and Scynexis. A. Warris reports grants and personal fees from Gilead outside the submitted work. P. L. White reports non nancial support from Bruker, Dynamiker, and Launch; personal fees and non nancial support from Gilead; and personal fees from MSD outside the submitted work. J. R. Wingard reports personal fees from Ansun, Astellas, Behring, Celgene, Cidara, Merck, and Shire outside the submitted work. T. E. Zaoutis reports personal fees from P zer outside the submitted work. All other authors report no potential con icts. All authors have submitted the ICMJE Form for Disclosure of Potential Con icts of Interest. Con icts that the editors consider relevant to the content of the manuscript have been disclosed.

References

1. De Pauw B, Walsh TJ, Donnelly JP, et al; European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer/Invasive Fungal Infections Cooperative Group; National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Mycoses Study Group Consensus Group. Revised definitions of invasive fungal disease from the European Organization for Research and Treatment of Cancer/Invasive Fungal Infections Cooperative Group and the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases Mycoses Study Group (EORTC/MSG) Consensus Group. *Clin Infect Dis* 2008; 46:1813–21.

2. Maertens JA, Raad II, Marr KA, et al. Isavuconazole versus voriconazole for primary treatment of invasive mould disease caused by *Aspergillus* and other filamentous fungi (SECURE): a phase 3, randomised-controlled, non-inferiority trial. *Lancet* **2016**; 387:760–9.

3. Herzig R, Pfaller M, Pittet D, et al. Application of the 2008 definitions of invasive fungal disease in intensive care: a prospective study. *Clin Infect Dis* **2011**; 52:105–11.

50. Heng SC, Morrissey O, Chen SC, et al. Utility of bronchoalveolar lavage fluid galactomannan alone or in combination with PCR for the diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis in adult hematology patients: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Crit Rev Microbiol* **2015**; 41:124–34.
51. Mengoli C, Cruciani M, Barnes RA, Loeffler J, Donnelly JP. Use of PCR for diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis: systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Infect Dis* **2009**; 9:89–96.
52. Sun W, Wang K, Gao W, et al. Evaluation of PCR on bronchoalveolar lavage fluid for diagnosis of invasive aspergillosis: a bivariate metaanalysis and systematic review. *PLoS One* **2011**; 6:e28467.
53. Zou M, Tang L, Zhao S, et al. Systematic review and meta-analysis of detecting galactomannan in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid for diagnosing invasive aspergillosis. *PLoS One* **2012**; 7:e43347.
54. White PL, Wingard JR, Bretagne S, et al. A polymerase chain reaction: systematic review of evidence for clinical use in comparison with antigen testing. *Clin Infect Dis* **2015**; 61:1293–303.
55. Schauvlieghe AFAD, Vonk AG, Buddingh EP, et al. Detection of azole-susceptible and azole-resistant *A* coinfection by cyp51A PCR amplicon melting curve analysis. *J Antimicrob Chemother* **2017**; 72:3047–50.
56. Chong GL, van de Sande WW, Dingemans GJ, et al. Validation of a new *A* real-time PCR assay for direct detection of *A* and azole resistance of *A* on bronchoalveolar lavage fluid. *J Clin Microbiol* **2015**; 53:868–74.
57. White PL, Posso RB, Barnes RA. Analytical and clinical evaluation of the PathoNostics AsperGenius assay for detection of invasive aspergillosis and resistance to azole antifungal drugs directly from plasma samples. *J Clin Microbiol* **2017**; 55:2356–66.
58. Buitrago MJ, Bernal-Martinez L, Castelli MV, Rodriguez-Tudela JL, Cuenca-Estrella M. Performance of panfungal- and specific-PCR-based procedures for etiological diagnosis of invasive fungal diseases on tissue biopsy specimens with proven infection: a 7-year retrospective analysis from a reference laboratory. *J Clin Microbiol* **2014**; 52:1737–40.
59. Moncada PA, Budvytiene I, Ho DY, Deresinski SC, Montoya JG, Banaei N. Utility of DNA sequencing for direct identification of invasive fungi from fresh and formalin-fixed specimens. *Am J Clin Pathol* **2013**; 140:203–8.
60. Irinyi L, Serena C, Garcia-Hermoso D, et al. International Society of Human and Animal Mycology (ISHAM)-ITS reference DNA barcoding database—the quality controlled standard tool for routine identification of human and animal pathogenic fungi. *Med Mycol* **2015**; 53:313–37.
61. McKinnell JA, Cannella AP, Kunz DF, et al. Pneumocystis pneumonia in hospitalized patients: a detailed examination of symptoms, management, and outcomes in human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)-infected and HIV-uninfected persons. *Transpl Infect Dis* **2012**; 14:510–8.
62. Sepkowitz KA. *P* pneumonia in patients without AIDS. *Clin Infect Dis* **1993**; 17 Suppl 2:S416–22.
63. Messiaen PE, Cuyx S, Dejagere T, van der Hilst JC. The role of CD4 cell count as discriminatory measure to guide chemoprophylaxis against *P* pneumonia in human immunodeficiency virus-negative immunocompromised patients: a systematic review. *Transpl Infect Dis* **2017**; 19: doi: 10.1111/tid.12651.
64. Pagano L, Fianchi L, Mele L, et al. *P* pneumonia in patients with malignant haematological diseases: 10 years' experience of infection in GIMEMA centres. *Br J Haematol* **2002**; 117:379–86.
65. Roux A, Gonzalez F, Roux M, et al. Groupe de recherche respiratoire en réanimation en oncologie (Grrr-OH). Update on pulmonary *P* infection in non-HIV patients. *Med Mal Infect* **2014**; 44:185–98.
66. Alanio A, Bretagne S. Diagnosis of *P* pneumonia: role of β -D-glucan detection and PCR. *Curr Fungal Infect Rep* **2014**; 8:322–30.
67. Fan LC, Lu HW, Cheng KB, Li HP, Xu JF. Evaluation of PCR in bronchoalveolar lavage fluid for diagnosis of *P* pneumonia: a bivariate meta-analysis and systematic review. *PLoS One* **2013**; 8:e73099.
68. Onishi A, Sugiyama D, Kogata Y, et al. Diagnostic accuracy of serum 1,3- β -D-glucan for *P* pneumonia, invasive candidiasis, and invasive aspergillosis: systematic review and meta-analysis. *J Clin Microbiol* **2012**; 50:7–15.
69. Karageorgopoulos DE, Qu JM, Korbila IP, Zhu YG, Vasileiou VA, Falagas ME. Accuracy of β -D-glucan for the diagnosis of *P* pneumonia: a meta-analysis. *Clin Microbiol Infect* **2013**; 19:39–49.
70. Jarvis JN, Lawn SD, Vogt M, Bangani N, Wood R, Harrison TS. Screening for cryptococcal antigenemia in patients accessing an antiretroviral treatment program in South Africa. *Clin Infect Dis* **2009**; 48:856–62.
71. Chen S, Sorrell T, Nimmo G, et al. Epidemiology and host- and variety-dependent characteristics of infection due to *C* in Australia and New Zealand. Australasian Cryptococcal Study Group. *Clin Infect Dis* **2000**; 31:499–508.
72. Speed B, Dunt D. Clinical and host differences between infections with the two varieties of *C*. *Clin Infect Dis* **1995**; 21:28–34; discussion 5–6.
73. Morgan J, McCarthy KM, Gould S, et al. *C* infection: characteristics and epidemiology of cases identified in a South African province with high HIV seroprevalence, 2002–2004. *Clin Infect Dis* **2006**; 43:1077–80.
74. Steele KT, Thakur R, Nthobatsang R, Steenhoff AP, Bisson GP. In-hospital mortality of HIV-infected cryptococcal meningitis patients with *C* and *C* infection in Gaborone, Botswana. *Med Mycol* **2010**; 48:1112–5.
75. Gast KB, van der Hoeven A, de Boer MGJ, et al. Two cases of *E* infection in immunocompromised patients in the Netherlands. *Med Mycol Case Rep* **2019**; 24:5–8.
76. Schwartz IS, Sanche S, Wiederhold NP, Patterson TF, Sigler L. *E*, a dimorphic fungus causing fatal systemic human disease in North America. *Emerg Infect Dis* **2018**; 24:758–61.
77. Crombie K, Spengane Z, Locketz M, et al. Paradoxical worsening of *E* infection in an HIV-infected male on itraconazole and antiretroviral therapy. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **2018**; 12:e0006173.
78. Wang P, Kenyon C, de Hoog S, et al. A novel dimorphic pathogen, *E* (Onygenales), agent of disseminated infection. *Mycoses* **2017**; 60:310–9.
79. Schwartz IS, Wiederhold NP, Hanson KE, Patterson TF, Sigler L. *B*, a new dimorphic fungus causing fatal pulmonary and systemic disease in humans and animals in Western Canada and the United States. *Clin Infect Dis* **2019**; 68:188–95.
80. Brown EM, McTaggart LR, Zhang SX, Low DE, Stevens DA, Richardson SE. Phylogenetic analysis reveals a cryptic species *B*, sp. nov. within the human pathogenic fungus *B*. *PLoS One* **2013**; 8:e59237.